Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Economics Letters**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolet

# Political extremism and economic activity

Matthew Rao, Paul A. Raschky, Christis G. Tombazos\*

Department of Economics, Monash University, Australia

#### HIGHLIGHTS

• We study the effect of economic activity on the rise of extremist political parties.

• We face the challenge that economic activity is endogenous to electoral outcomes.

ABSTRACT

recovering world economy.

- Our identification strategy exploits the variation of world mineral prices.
- Small GDPpc changes have a large impact on the vote share of far-right parties.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 March 2018 Received in revised form 22 May 2018 Accepted 22 May 2018 Available online 24 May 2018

- JEL classification: D72 G01 O4 O52
- Keywords: Political extremism Nationalism Populism Economic growth Europe

#### 1. Introduction

In the wake of the 2007–2008 economic crisis, a wave of electoral triumphs by political parties that belong to the ideological fringes swept across the European political landscape.<sup>1</sup> The timing and severity of this electoral shift reignited a long-standing interest in the role of economic activity in political radicalization (e.g., Reinhart and Rogoff, 2014; Funke et al., 2016). For the most

E-mail addresses: matthew.rao@monash.edu (M. Rao),

paul.raschky@monash.edu (P.A. Raschky), christis.tombazos@monash.edu (C.G. Tombazos).

part, relevant research relies on discrete manifestations of crises as economic events that drive electoral outcomes. This approach engenders an important methodological advantage. Because the political environment of any one country is almost never the singular cause of a global crisis (Summers, 2000), the direction of causation is unambiguous. It runs from the emergence of a crisis to electoral results, and not the other way around. Of course, by concentrating on a small number of elections that follow the kind of extreme economic downturns associated with crises, this approach is not designed to shed light on the extent to which economic activity drives electoral results on the margin. Yet, casual empiricism and formal theory suggest that it does (Bruckner and Gruner, 2010). All fluctuations in economic growth, however small, are likely to have an impact (de Bromhead et al., 2013; Leigh, 2009). Measuring this impact will provide answers to a host of important questions. For example, it will shed light on relatively mild economic downturns that, while not severe enough to qualify as crises, have the potential to enable extremist parties to break specific electoral thresholds and enter federal parliaments.

We study the effect of economic activity on the vote share of extremist political parties in Europe. Using

a model that addresses the prevalent endogeneity problem, which is likely to have discouraged similar

research, we find that small fluctuations in income per-capita have significant inverse effects on the vote

share of far-right parties. Our results explain the widespread success of such parties in entering European

parliaments following the 2007–2008 crisis. They also suggest that, *ceteris paribus*, far-right parties on the margin of electoral thresholds run the risk of losing parliamentary representation in the face of a steadily





© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

economics letters

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Department of Economics, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The electoral accomplishments of these parties are staggering. For example, the vote share of Greece's *Golden Dawn* increased from 0.1% in 1996 to 7% in 2012. The *True Finns* and the *United Kingdom Independence Party* increased their share of the vote in their respective 2011 and 2015 parliamentary elections fourfold. Finally, the Spanish *Podemos*, established in 2014, received over 20% of the share of the vote in the national elections of 2015.

In this study, we set out to fill this gap in the literature by investigating the extent to which growth in per capita GDP impacts the electoral success of extremist parties at the regional level across Europe during 1990–2016. An important challenge that we face is that economic growth is endogenous to political outcomes.<sup>2</sup> This could be the result of unobserved variables that simultaneously drive economic fluctuations and voting behavior. For example, extensive immigration may contribute positively to per capita GDP (Boubtane and Dumont, 2016) and, independently, to the electability of far-right candidates that typically promote isolationist policies (Becker and Fetzer, 2016; Halla et al., 2017). Alternatively, endogeneity may result from reverse causation. For instance, electoral success by far-left parties may lead to programs that decrease wage inequality which can, in turn, impact on economic growth (Madsen et al., 2018).

We overcome this problem by carefully constructing an appropriate instrumental variable. Our instrument interacts global prices of minerals with the existence of regional mining activity in such minerals that predates the electoral contests under consideration. This instrument, which draws from the work of Acemoglu et al. (2013), exploits two key sources of variation. First, the time variation in global prices of mined resources. Second, the cross-regional variation in mining activity. As we elaborate in a subsequent section, this variable is independent of electoral results, yet highly correlated with regional economic growth.

#### 2. Empirical strategy

We estimate the impact of growth in GDP per capita on the vote share of extremist parties using the following log-linear specification:

$$VoteShare_{it} = \alpha_i + \tau_t + \beta \ln(GDPpc_{it}) + \gamma \ln(pop_{it}) + \epsilon_{it}$$
(1)

where *i* and *t* index region and time, respectively. *VoteShare* is the number of percentage points that correspond to the percentage share of the vote that different political groupings receive and it can take one of three forms: *TotalExtremist*, *FarLeft*, and *FarRight*. They represent the vote share of parties on both the far-left and the far-right, only those on the far-left, and only those on the far-right, respectively.  $\alpha_i$  represents regional fixed effects and  $\tau_t$  captures time fixed effects.  $\ln(GDPpc_{it})$ , which is the explanatory variable of interest, is the natural log of GDP per capita in region *i* at year *t*.  $\ln(pop_{it})$  is the natural log of the total population in region *i* at year *t*. Finally,  $\epsilon_{it}$  represents the error term.

To address the endogeneity of *GDPpc*, we use a two-stage least squares (2SLS) estimation approach with the following first-stage regression:

$$\ln(GDPpc_{it}) = \alpha_i + \tau_t + \delta(M_i \times p_t) + \gamma' \ln(pop_{it}) + u_{it}$$
(2)

The instrumental variable is interaction  $M_i \times p_t$ .  $M_i$  is a dummy variable that assumes the value of 1 if region *i* is home to at least one active mine whose existence predates the electoral contests under consideration.  $p_t$  is the world price of the resource extracted from that mine. If there are multiple minerals extracted in any given region,  $p_t$  is the simple average of the global prices of such minerals.

Our identifying assumption is that the mining activity dummy and the variation in global mined resource prices, are orthogonal to the error term in the second stage. The premise is twofold. First, the mining activity dummy,  $M_i$ , is time-invariant and only

Table 1	
Descriptive	statistics

Tabla 1

Descriptive statistics				
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
TotalExtremist	10.301	10.034	0.000	47.530
FarLeft	5.144	5.832	0.000	34.007
FarRight	5.158	7.985	0.000	47.000
ln(GDPpc)	9.775	0.629	7.881	11.225
ln(pop)	7.024	0.921	3.207	9.361
<i>p</i> /1000	1.013	6.012	0.000	77.395

includes mines that became active prior to the beginning of our sample period. Hence, this variable is not affected by openings or closings that might be correlated with electoral outcomes. Second, Europe is a relatively small supplier of mined resources to the world and is therefore unlikely to influence the world prices of such resources. At the same time,  $M_i \times p_t$  is a good proxy of the value of mining activity in individual regions that is a component of regional GDP. Hence, the interaction is correlated with regional GDP and, by extension, it is an appropriate instrument for regional GDP per capita.

# 3. Data

We require data on electoral contests; GDP; population; and mining activity, at the regional level across Europe and over time. We also require data on the global prices of mined resources during a comparable time period. For regional subdivisions of European countries we rely on the Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS). NUTS is a geocode standard for referencing the subdivisions of countries for statistical purposes. We use the 'regional' level (NUTS-2) classification which offers the most extensive data for both elections and mining activity.

GDP and population data were collected from Eurostat (2016). Data on regional mining activity were obtained from the United States Geological Survey (2016) that conveniently reports European data using the NUTS framework. Global mineral prices were sourced from the World Bank (2016a), World Bank (2016b) and United States Geological Survey (2016). Finally, electoral results of parliamentary elections were collected from the European Union's (2016) European Election Database. Following the definitions of the previous section, they were coded in the form of *TotalExtremist*, *FarLeft*, and *FarRight*. Classification of political parties in different categories follows Funke et al. (2016).

Our final data cover 218 regions across 16 European countries and 90 elections during 1990–2016. Table 1 provides descriptive statistics.

# 4. Findings

The results of estimating the regression equations of the previous section are reported in Table 2. 2SLS, OLS, reduced form, and first stage estimates appear in panels A, B, C, and D, respectively. We discuss them in reverse order.

Overcoming endogeneity is central in any effort to link growth to electoral outcomes. In this light, it is reassuring that our instrument has a first stage coefficient that is significant at the 1% level and an F-statistics of 11.75 that satisfies Staiger and Stock's (1997) critical value of 10 (panel D). The reduced form estimates of panel C suggest that this instrument is particularly strong in the specification that explains the vote share of far-right parties. This is important given that this specification is likely to be especially susceptible to time-varying endogeneity that affects some NUTS-2 regions but not others. Amongst factors driving this form of endogeneity, immigration stands out as particularly consequential. On the one hand, immigration facilitates greater division of labor which enhances productivity and increases a country's GDP per

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Here, and henceforth, economic growth refers to either or both of growth in GDP and growth in GDP per capita. Contributions that examine the impact of economic growth on radicalization either ignore the issue of endogeneity (de Bromhead et al., 2013; Leigh, 2009) or rely on survey, rather than observed electoral, data (Bruckner and Gruner, 2010).

	(1) TotalExtremist	(2) FarLeft	(3) FarRight	
	Panel A. 2SLS Estim	ates <sup>a</sup>		
ln(GDPpc)	-0.531***	-0.047	$-0.484^{**}$	
	(0.189)	(0.110)	(0.220)	
	Panel B. OLS Estimates <sup>a</sup>			
ln(GDPpc)	$-0.035^{*}$	$-0.027^{**}$	-0.006	
	(0.019)	(0.012)	(0.014)	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.305	0.315	0.268	
$(M \times p)$	Panel C. Reduced form Estimates			
	$-1.9 \times 10^{-4^{***}}$	$-1.7 \times 10^{-5}$	$-1.7 \times 10^{-4^{**}}$	
× 17	$(4.2 \times 10^{-5})$	$(4.7 \times 10^{-5})$	$(3.5 \times 10^{-5})$	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.308	0.312	0.277	
	Panel D. First stage			
	[Dependent variabl			
$(M \times p)$		$(3.6 \times 10^{-6})^{***}$		
		$(1.1 \times 10^{-6})$		
First-stage Kleibergen–Paap F-statistic		11.75		

riist-stage Kielbergen-raap r-statistic	11.75
Notes: There are 1,011 observations. An observa	ation corresponds to a single NUTS-2 region and year. All specifications
include ln(pop), NUTS-2-region fixed effects, ar	nd year fixed effects. However, their coefficients are suppressed in the
interest of parsimony. Huber-White standard en	rrors appear in parentheses. ***, **, and * indicate statistical significance
at the 1, 5, and 10% levels, respectively.	

<sup>a</sup> Panels A and B correspond to Level-Log specifications where the dependent variable is expressed in units of percentage points that correspond to the percentage share of the vote. Denoting the estimated coefficient of ln(GDPpc) by  $\hat{\beta}$ , a 1% increase in *GDPpc* will change the dependent variable by ( $\hat{\beta}/100$ ) units of the dependent variable (i.e., percentage points). For ease of interpretation, Panels A and B report ( $\hat{\beta}/100$ ) in place of the estimated coefficient ( $\hat{\beta}$ ). By way of an example consider the case of central Macedonia which is one of the most populous but least affluent of the 13 administrative regions of Greece. From the Greek parliamentary election of 2007 to that of 2009, the GDP per capita of this region decreased by 4.96%. Using our estimated coefficient (divided by 100) that is reported in column 3 of Panel A we can infer that, other things equal, the vote share of far-right parties would have increased by 0.484\*4.96=2.4 percentage points (the actual increase was 2.13 percentage points).

capita (Boubtane and Dumont, 2016). On the other, the inflow of immigrants is found to raise voters' concerns about possible adverse effects in their communities which drives their support of far-right parties that advocate restrictions on immigration (Halla et al., 2017). In other words, immigration increases the vote share of far-right parties and GDP per capita simultaneously. As a result, the OLS coefficient of GDP per capita encompasses a positive bias (decreasing the absolute value of an otherwise negative coefficient). The large difference in panel B's OLS and panel A's 2SLS coefficients of GDP per capita in the specification of column 3 attests to the significance of time-varying endogeneity. It also underscores the importance of the instrumental variables approach that we advocate in this paper.

Table 2

Local economic activity and vote share of extremist parties

Consider now the 2SLS coefficients of panel A. A 1% decrease in regional GDP per capita increases the regional vote share of extremist parties by 0.53 percentage points (pp), an effect that is statistically significant at the 1% level. For the most part, this reflects the effect on the vote share of far-right parties which corresponds to 0.48 pp and which is significant at the 5% level. By contrast, the corresponding effect on the far-left is only 0.047 pp and statistically insignificant.

The 2007–2008 crisis led to a reduction of European GDP per capita in the order of 2%–5% (e.g., Funke et al., 2016). Other things equal, this implies a rise in the vote share of far-right parties in the neighborhood of 1–2.5 pp. Given that electoral thresholds in European parliaments are typically around 2%–5%, our results can partly explain recent electoral successes by the far-right movement. Currently, a number of far-right parties represented in European parliaments stand on a vote share that is only marginally above electoral thresholds. Examples include Cyprus' *National Popular Front* at 3.71% (threshold 3.6%), Lithuania's *Order and Justice* at

5.33% (threshold 5%), Italy's *Lega Nord* at 4.08% (threshold 3%), etc. If Europe's 2017 GDP per capita growth of 2%–3% continues, our results suggest that it will translate into an annual vote share loss by far-right parties in the order of 1–1.5 pp. Other things equal, these figures predict that at least some far-right parties are likely to be forced out of Europe's parliaments in forthcoming elections.

## 5. Conclusion

Small fluctuations in income per capita have a large inverse effect on the vote share of far-right parties. This result explains the ascendancy of such parties in the wake of the 2007–2008 crisis. Subject to unique national and regional characteristics (from which our estimations abstract using fixed-effects), it also suggests that far-right parties on the margin of electoral thresholds run the risk of losing parliamentary representation in the face of a steadily recovering world economy.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the editor and an anonymous referee for useful comments and suggestions.

## References

- Acemoglu, D., Finkelstein, A., Notowidigdo, M.J., 2013. Income, and health spending: Evidence from oil price shocks. Rev. Econ. Stat. 95, 1079–1095.
- Becker, S., Fetzer, T., 2016. Does Migration Cause Extreme Voting?, CAGE Working Paper 306.
- Boubtane, E., Dumont, J.-C., Rault, C., 2016. Immigration and Economic Growth in the OECD Countries 1986-2006 Oxford Economic Papers 68. pp. 340–360.

Bruckner, M., Gruner, H.P., 2010. Economic Growth and the Rise of Political Extremism: Theory and Evidence, C.E.P.R. Discussion Papers.

de Bromhead, A., Eichengreen, B., O'Rourke, K.H., 2013. Political extremism in the 1920s and 1930s: Do German lessons generalize?. J. Econ. History 73, 371–406.

European Union, 2016. European Election Database: http://www.nsd.uib.no. (Accessed October 2016).

Eurostat, 2016. GDP at the Regional Level: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statisticsexplained (Accessed October 2016).

Funke, M., Schularick, M., Trebesch, C., 2016. Going to extremes: Politics after financial crises, 1870–2014. Eur. Econ. Rev. 88, 227–260.

Halla, M., Wagner, A.F., Zweimuller, J., 2017. Immigration and voting for the far right. J. Eur. Econom. Assoc. 15, 1341–1385.

Leigh, A., 2009. Does the world economy swing national elections?. Oxford Bull. Econ. Stat. 71, 163–181.

- Madsen, J.B., Islam, Md.R., Doucouliagos, H., 2018. Inequality, financial development and economic growth in the OECD, 1870–2011. Eur. Econ. Rev. 101, 605–624.
- Reinhart, C.M., Rogoff, K.S., 2014. Recovery from financial crises: Evidence from 100 episodes. Amer. Econ. Rev. 104, 50–55.
- Staiger, D., Stock, J.H., 1997. Instrumental variables regression with weak instruments. Econometrica 65, 557–586.
- Summers, L.H., 2000. International financial crises: Causes, prevention, and cures. Amer. Econ. Rev. 90, 1–16.
- United States Geological Survey, 2016. Historical Statistics for Mineral and Material Commodities in the United States: http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/ historical-statistics/ (Accessed October 2016).
- World Bank, 2016a. Global Economic Monitor (GEM) Commodities: http://databank. worldbank.org (Accessed October 2016).
- World Bank, 2016b. World Development Indicators Contribution of Natural Resources to Gross Domestic Product: http://wdi.worldbank.org/table/3.14 (Accessed October 2016).