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## Outline

- Second-order least squares (SLS) method
- SLS and ordinary least squares (OLS) method
- SLS and the generalized method of moments (GMM)
- The errors-in-variables problem
- Simulation-based SLSE in errors-in-variables models
- Simulation-based SLSE in mixed effects models


## Second-order Least Squares Method

- The model $Y=g(X ; \beta)+\varepsilon$ with $E(\varepsilon \mid X)=0$ and $E\left(\varepsilon^{2} \mid X\right)=\sigma^{2}$.
- To estimate $\gamma=\left(\beta^{\prime}, \sigma^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ with i.i.d. random sample $\left(Y_{i}, X_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
- The OLSE minimizes the (sum of squared) "first-order" distances

$$
S_{n}(\beta)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-E\left(Y_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-g\left(X_{i} ; \beta\right)\right)^{2}
$$

- The OLSE for $\sigma^{2}$ is defined as $\hat{\sigma}_{O L S}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} S_{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{O L S}\right)$.
- The SLSE minimizes the distances $Y_{i}-E\left(Y_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)$ and $Y_{i}^{2}-E\left(Y_{i}^{2} \mid X_{i}\right)$ simultaneously.
- The $\hat{\sigma}_{S L S}^{2}$ is obtained through optimization.


## Second-order Least Squares Method

- The SLSE for $\gamma$ is defined as $\hat{\gamma}_{S L S}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma} Q_{n}(\gamma)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n}(\gamma) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma) A_{i} \rho_{i}(\gamma) \\
\rho_{i}(\gamma) & =\left(Y_{i}-g\left(X_{i} ; \beta\right), Y_{i}^{2}-g^{2}\left(X_{i} ; \beta\right)-\sigma^{2}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $A_{i}=A\left(X_{i}\right)$ is a $2 \times 2$ n.d. weighting matrix.

- Under conditions 1-4, $\hat{\gamma}_{S L S} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \gamma$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- Under conditions 1-6, $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{S L S}-\gamma\right) \xrightarrow{L} N\left(0, B^{-1} C B^{-1}\right)$, where

$$
B=E\left[\frac{\partial \rho^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} A \frac{\partial \rho(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right], \quad C=E\left[\frac{\partial \rho^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} A \rho(\gamma) \rho^{\prime}(\gamma) A \frac{\partial \rho(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right]
$$

## Regularity conditions for SLSE

(1) $g(x ; \beta)$ is a measurable in $x$ and continuous in $\beta$ a.e.
(2) $E\|A(X)\|\left(\sup _{\beta} g^{4}(X ; \beta)+1\right)<\infty$.
(3) The parameter space $\Gamma \subset R^{p+1}$ is compact.
(9) $\boldsymbol{E}\left[\rho(\gamma)-\rho\left(\gamma_{0}\right)\right]^{\prime} \boldsymbol{A}(X)\left[\rho(\gamma)-\rho\left(\gamma_{0}\right)\right]=0$ if and only if $\gamma=\gamma_{0}$.
(3) $g(x ; \beta)$ is twice continuously differentiable w.r.t. $\beta$ and

$$
E\|A(X)\| \sup _{\beta}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial g(X ; \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right\|^{4}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} g(X ; \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right\|^{4}\right)<\infty
$$

(0) The matrix $B=E\left[\frac{\partial \rho^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} A(X) \frac{\partial \rho(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right]$ is nonsingular.

## Efficient Choice of Weighing Matrix

- How to choose $A$ to obtain the most efficient estimator $\hat{\gamma}_{n}$ in the class of all SLSE?
- We can show that $B^{-1} C B^{-1} \geq E^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \rho^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} A_{0} \frac{\partial \rho(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right]$ and the lower bound is attained for $A=A_{0}$ in $B$ and $C$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad A_{0}=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}\right)-\mu_{3}^{2}\right]^{-1} \times \\
& \\
& \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu_{4}+4 \mu_{3} g(X ; \beta)+4 \sigma^{2} g^{2}(X ; \beta)-\sigma^{4} & -\mu_{3}-2 \sigma^{2} g(X ; \beta) \\
-\mu_{3}-2 \sigma^{2} g(X ; \beta) & \sigma^{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mu_{3}=E\left(\varepsilon^{3} \mid X\right) \text { and } \mu_{4}=E\left(\varepsilon^{4} \mid X\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $A_{0}$ depends on $\gamma$, a two-stage procedure can be used:
(1) minimize $Q_{n}(\gamma)$ using identity weight $A=I$ to obtain $\tilde{\gamma}_{n}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{3}, \hat{\mu}_{4}$ using residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}=Y_{i}-g\left(X_{i} ; \tilde{\beta}\right)$;
(2) estimate $A_{0}$ using $\tilde{\gamma}, \hat{\mu}_{3}, \hat{\mu}_{4}$ and minimize $Q_{n}(\gamma)$ again with $A=\hat{A}_{0}$.


## The Most Efficient SLS Estimator

- The most efficient SLSE has asymptotic covariance matrix

$$
C_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
V\left(\hat{\beta}_{S L S}\right) & \frac{\mu_{3}}{\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}} V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{S L S}^{2}\right) G_{2}^{-1} G_{1} \\
\frac{\mu_{3}}{\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}} V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{S L S}^{2}\right) G_{1}^{\prime} G_{2}^{-1} & V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{S L S}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
V\left(\hat{\beta}_{S L S}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2}-\frac{\mu_{3}^{2}}{\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}}\right)\left(G_{2}-\frac{\mu_{3}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}\left(\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}\right)} G_{1} G_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{S L S}^{2}\right)=\frac{\left(\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}\right)\left(\sigma^{2}\left(\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}\right)-\mu_{3}^{2}\right)}{\sigma^{2}\left(\mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}\right)-\mu_{3}^{2} G_{1}^{\prime} G_{2}^{-1} G_{1}} \\
G_{1}=E\left[\frac{\partial g(X ; \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right], \quad G_{2}=E\left[\frac{\partial g(X ; \beta)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial g(X ; \beta)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## SLS and OLS Estimators

- Under similar conditions, the OLSE $\hat{\gamma} O L S=\left(\hat{\beta}_{O L S}^{\prime}, \hat{\sigma}_{O L S}^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ has asymptotic covariance matrix

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma^{2} G_{2}^{-1} & \mu_{3} G_{2}^{-1} G_{1} \\
\mu_{3} G_{1}^{\prime} G_{2}^{-1} & \mu_{4}-\sigma^{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- If $\mu_{3}=E\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \neq 0$, then
(1) $V\left(\hat{\beta}_{O L S}\right)-V\left(\hat{\beta}_{S L S}\right)$ is p.d. when $G_{1}^{\prime} G_{2}^{-1} G_{1} \neq 1$, and is n.d. when $G_{1}^{\prime} G_{2}^{-1} G_{1}=1$;
(2) $V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{O L S}^{2}\right) \geq V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{S L S}^{2}\right)$ with equality holding iff $G_{1}^{\prime} G_{2}^{-1} G_{1}=1$.
- If $\mu_{3}=0$, then $\hat{\gamma} S L S$ and $\hat{\gamma} O L S$ have the same asymptotic covariance matrices.


## A Simulation Study

- An exponential model $Y=\beta_{1} \exp \left(-\beta_{2} X\right)+\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon=\left(\chi^{2}(3)-3\right) / \sqrt{3}$.
- Generate data using $X \sim \operatorname{Uniform}(0,20)$ and $\beta_{1}=10, \beta_{2}=0.6$, $\sigma^{2}=2$.
- Sample size $n=30,50,100,200$.
- Monte Carlo replications $N=1000$


## A Simulation Study

|  | OLS | VAR | MSE | SLS | VAR | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta_{1}=10$ | 10.0315 | 2.0245 | 2.0255 | 10.2306 | 1.6380 | 1.6895 |
| $\beta_{2}=0.6$ | 0.6139 | 0.0189 | 0.0190 | 0.6282 | 0.0141 | 0.0149 |
| $\sigma^{2}=2$ | 2.0027 | 0.7656 | 0.7648 | 1.7026 | 0.3093 | 0.3974 |
| $n=50$ | 10.0238 | 1.4738 | 1.4743 | 10.1880 | 1.1669 | 1.2011 |
|  | 0.6109 | 0.0141 | 0.0142 | 0.6241 | 0.0100 | 0.0105 |
|  | 1.9763 | 0.5194 | 0.5194 | 1.7733 | 0.2430 | 0.2941 |
| $n=100$ | 9.9802 | 0.9863 | 0.9867 | 10.1146 | 0.6428 | 0.6553 |
|  | 0.6032 | 0.0074 | 0.0074 | 0.6133 | 0.0046 | 0.0048 |
|  | 2.0061 | 0.2693 | 0.2694 | 1.8891 | 0.1573 | 0.1695 |
| $n=200$ | 10.0153 | 0.5467 | 0.5469 | 10.0522 | 0.3361 | 0.3384 |
|  | 0.6028 | 0.0038 | 0.0038 | 0.6054 | 0.0023 | 0.0024 |
|  | 2.0077 | 0.1129 | 0.1129 | 1.9504 | 0.0774 | 0.0798 |

## SLS and Generalized Method of Moments Estimator

- GMM using the first two conditional moments minimizes

$$
Q_{n}(\gamma)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}(\gamma)\right)^{\prime} A_{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}(\gamma)\right)
$$

where $\rho_{i}(\gamma)=\left(Y_{i}-g\left(X_{i} ; \beta\right), Y_{i}^{2}-g^{2}\left(X_{i} ; \beta\right)-\sigma^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ and $A_{n}$ is n.d.

- The most efficient GMM estimator has the asymptotic covariance

$$
\left[E\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma}\right) A_{0} E\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{i}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

where $A_{0}=E^{-1}\left[\rho_{i}(\gamma) \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma)\right]$ is the optimal weighting matrix.

- We have $V\left(\hat{\beta}_{G M M}\right) \geq V\left(\hat{\beta}_{S L S}\right)$ and $V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{G M M}^{2}\right) \geq V\left(\hat{\sigma}_{S L S}^{2}\right)$.


## Simple Linear Regression

- The relationship of interest: $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{\chi} X+\varepsilon$, where $Y$ : response variable, $X$ : explanatory variable, $\varepsilon$ : is uncorrelated with $X$ and $E(\varepsilon)=0$.
- Given an i.i.d. random sample $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$
- The ordinary least squares estimator (MLE under normality) is unbiased and consistent: as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\hat{\beta}_{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\operatorname{Var}(X)}=\beta_{x}
$$

- Implicit assumption: $X$ is directly and precisely measured.


## Example of Measurement Error

- Coronary heart disease in relation to systolic blood pressure:

$$
E(Y \mid X)=g\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{X} X, \ldots\right)
$$

$Y$ : CHD indicator or severity, $X$ : long-term average SBP, and $g$ is a known function, e.g., logistic.

- The observed SBP variable is
$Z$ : blood pressure measured during a clinic visit on a given day
- Therefore $Z=X+e$, where $e$ is a random ME


## Example of Measurement Error

- Individual lung cancer risk and exposure to certain air pollutants:

$$
E(Y \mid X)=g\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{x}^{\prime} X, \ldots\right)
$$

$Y$ : lung cancer incidence, $X$ : individual exposure to the pollutants, and $g$ is a known function, e.g. logistic.

- The observed exposure variable is
$Z$ : level of pollutants measured at certain monitoring stations, or calculated group average
- Therefore $X=Z+e$, where $e$ is a random ME


## Example of Measurement Error

- A pharmacokinetic study of the efficacy of a drug:

$$
E(Y \mid X)=g(X, \beta, \ldots)
$$

where $Y$ : effect of the drug; $X$ : actual absorption of the medical substance in bloodstream

- The observed predictor is $Z$ : predetermined dosage of the drug
- Therefore $X=Z+e$, where $e$ is a random ME.
- Yield of a crop and the amount of fertilizer used:

$$
Y=g(X, \beta, \ldots)
$$

where $Y$ : yield; $X$ : actual absorption of the fertilizer in the crop

- The actual observed predictor is $Z$ : predetermined dose of the fertilizer
- Therefore $X=Z+e$, where $e$ is a random ME.


## Examples of Measurement Error

- Capital asset pricing model (CAPM): $R_{a}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} R_{m}+u$, where $R_{a}, R_{m}$ are the excess returns of an asset and true market portfolio respectively.
- $R_{m}$ is unobserved and estimated by regressing on market portfolio.
- A more general factor model (Fama and French (1993); Carhart (1997)):

$$
R_{a}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} F_{m}+\beta_{2} F_{s m b}+\beta_{3} F_{h m l}+\beta_{4} F_{u m d}+u
$$

where the unobserved true factors
$F_{m}=R_{m}$ : market effect
$F_{s m b}$ : portfolio size effect (small minus big)
$F_{h m l}$ : book-to-market effect (high minus low)
$F_{u m d}$ : momentum effect (up minus down)

- The constructed factors: $\hat{F}=F+e$


## Examples of Measurement Error

- Index option price volatilities:

$$
V_{t}^{r}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} V_{t}^{i}+\beta_{2} V_{t-1}^{h}+\varepsilon_{t}
$$

where $V_{t}^{r}, V_{t}^{i}, V_{t}^{h}$ are the realized, implied, historical volatility respectively.

- The implied volatility $V_{t}^{i}$ is estimated using some option pricing model: $V_{t}^{i}=\bar{V}_{t}^{i}+e$.
- Income function in labor market:
$Y$ : personal income (wage)
$X$ : education, experience, job-related ability, etc.
$Z$ : schooling, working history, etc.
- Consumption function of Friedman (1957):
$Y$ : permanent consumption
$X$ : permanent income
$Z$ : annual income or tax data


## Examples of Measurement Error

- Environmental variables:
$X$ : biomass, greenness of vegetation, etc.
$Z$ : satellite image or spatial average
- Long-term nutrition (fat, energy) intake, alcohol (smoke) consumption, etc.
$X$ : actual intake or consumption
$Z$ : report on food questionnaire or 24 hour recall interview
- Some demographic variables

X: education, experience, family wealth, poverty, etc.
$Z$ : schooling, working history, tax report income, etc.

## Impact of Measurement Error: A simulation study

- Generate independent $X_{i} \sim \operatorname{UNIF}(-2,2), i=1,2, \ldots, n=20$
- Generate independent $\varepsilon_{i} \sim N(0,0.1)$ and let $Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{x} X_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$, where $\beta_{0}=0, \beta_{x}=1$
- Fit the least squares line to ( $Y_{i}, X_{i}$ )
- Generate independent $e_{i} \sim N(0,0.5)$ and let $Z_{i}=X_{i}+e_{i}$
- Fit the least squares line to $\left(Y_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$
- Repeat using $\sigma_{e}^{2}=1,2$ respectively


## Impact of Measurement Error: A simulation study






## Impact of Measurement Error: A simulation study

- Generate independent $X_{i} \sim \operatorname{UNIF}(0,1), i=1,2, \ldots, n=40$
- Generate $Y_{i}=\sin \left(2 \pi X_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}$, where $\varepsilon_{i} \sim N\left(0,0.2^{2}\right)$
- Generate $Z_{i}=X_{i}+e_{i}$, where $e_{i} \sim N\left(0,0.2^{2}\right)$
- Plot $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$ and $\left(Z_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$


## Impact of Measurement Error: A simulation study




## Impact of Measurement Error: Theory

- The relationship of interest: $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{x} X+\varepsilon, \varepsilon \mid X \sim\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$
- Actual data: $Y, Z=X+e$, where $e$ is independent of $X$.
- The naive model ignoring ME: $Y=\beta_{0}^{*}+\beta_{z} Z+\varepsilon^{*}$
- The naive least squares estimator

$$
\hat{\beta}_{z} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_{z}=\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2} \beta_{x}}{\sigma_{z}^{2}}=\lambda \beta_{x}
$$

- The attenuation factor

$$
\lambda=\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2}} \leq 1 \text { and } \lambda=1 \text { if and only if } \sigma_{e}^{2}=0
$$

- The LSE of the intercept: $\hat{\beta}_{0}^{*} \xrightarrow{P} \beta_{0}^{*}=\beta_{0}+(1-\lambda) \beta_{x} \mu_{x}$
- The LSE of the error variance: $\hat{\sigma}^{2 *} \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^{2 *}=\sigma^{2}+\lambda \beta_{x}^{2} \sigma_{e}^{2}$


## Identifiability of Normal Linear Model

- The simple linear model: $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{X} X+\varepsilon, Z=X+e$
- Suppose $X \sim N\left(\mu_{x}, \sigma_{x}^{2}\right)$, $e \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{e}^{2}\right), \varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$, independent.
- The joint distribution of the observed variables $(Y, Z)$ is normal.
- Therefore all observable information is contained in the first two moments

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(Y) & =\beta_{0}+\beta_{x} \mu_{x}, \quad E(Z)=\mu_{x} \\
\operatorname{Var}(Y) & =\beta_{x}^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}+\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Cov}(Y, Z)=\beta_{x} \sigma_{x}^{2} \\
\operatorname{Var}(Z) & =\sigma_{x}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- There are 5 moment equations but 6 unknown parameters.
- In practice usually ad hoc restrictions are imposed to ensure unique solution (e.g. $\sigma_{e}^{2}, \sigma_{e}^{2} / \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ or $\sigma_{e}^{2} / \sigma_{x}^{2}$ is known or can be estimated using extra data).


## Nonlinear Measurement Error Model

- The response model: $Y=g(X, \beta)+\varepsilon$, where $Y$ : the response variable; $X$ : unobserved predictor (vector); $\varepsilon$ : random error independent of $X$; and $g$ is nonlinear in general, e.g., generalized linear models.
- The observed predictor is $Z$ (vector)
- Classical ME: $Z=X+e$, e independent of $X$ and therefore $\operatorname{Var}(Z)>\operatorname{Var}(X)$. E.g. blood pressure.
- Berkson ME: $X=Z+e, e$ independent of $Z$ and therefore $\operatorname{Var}(X)>\operatorname{Var}(Z)$. E.g. pollutants exposure.
- The two types of ME lead to different statistical structures of the full model and therefore require different treatments.


## Identifiability of Nonlinear EIV Models

- The identifiability of nonlinear EIV models is a long-standing and challenging problem.
- Nonlinear models with Berkson ME are generally identifiable without extra data:
- Rudemo, Ruppert and Streibig (1989): logistic model
- Huwang and Huang (2000): univariate polynomial models
- Wang $(2003,2004)$ : general nonlinear models
- Nonlinear classical ME models are identifiable with replicate data:

Li (2002), Schennach (2004).

- Identifiability with instrumental variables (IV):
- Hausman et al. (1991): univariate polynomial models
- Wang and Hsiao (1995, 2007): regression function $g \in L_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{k}\right)$
- Schennach (2007): $|g|$ is univariate and bounded by polynomials in $\boldsymbol{R}$.


## Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Likelihood analysis in nonlinear EIV models is difficult, because of intractability of the likelihood function.
- Example: Suppose $\varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$ and $e \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{e}^{2}\right)$.
- The likelihood function is a product of the conditional density

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(y \mid z) & =\int f(y \mid x) f(x \mid z) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{\varepsilon} \sigma_{e}} \int \exp \left[-\frac{(y-g(x ; \beta))^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}-\frac{(x-z)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{e}^{2}}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

- The closed form is not available for general $g$.
- Numerical approximations such as quadrature methods result in inconsistent estimators.


## Estimation in Nonlinear ME Models

- The IV method assuming that ME variance shrinks to zero as sample size tends to infinity: Wolter and Fuller (1982), Amemiya (1985, 1990), Stefanski and Carroll (1985), Amemiya and Fuller (1988)
- Assume the conditional density $f(x \mid z)$ has known parametric form: Hsiao (1989, 1992), Li and Hsiao (2004)
- Univariate polynomial model with IV: Hausman et al (1991), Hausman, Newey and Powell (1995), Cheng and Schneeweiss (1998), Huang and Huwang (2001)
- Nonlinear model with replicate data: Li (2002), Schennach (2004)
- Nonlinear semiparametric model with IV: Wang and Hsiao (1995, 2007), Schennach (2007)


## Estimation in Nonlinear EIV Models

- Approximate estimation when ME are small:
- regression calibration: Carroll and Stefanski (1990), Gleser (1990), Rosner, Willett and Spielgelman (1990)
- simulation-extrapolation (SIMEX): Cook and Stefanski (1994), Stefanski and Cook (1995), Carroll et al (1996)
- Estimation in Berkson ME models:
- logistic model: Rudemo, Ruppert and Streibig (1989)
- univariate polynomial model: Huwang and Huang (2000)
- general nonlinear models: Wang $(2003,2004)$


## Identifiability of Berkson ME Model: an Example

- A quadratic model with Berkson ME

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X^{2}+\varepsilon, \varepsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) \\
X & =Z+e, e \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{e}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The first two conditional moments

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(Y \mid Z)= & \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \sigma_{e}^{2}+\beta_{1} Z^{2} \\
E\left(Y^{2} \mid Z\right)= & \sigma^{2}+\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \sigma_{e}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 \beta_{1}^{2} \sigma_{e}^{4} \\
& +2 \beta_{1}\left(\beta_{0}+3 \beta_{1} \sigma_{e}^{2}\right) Z^{2}+\beta_{1}^{2} Z^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

- All unknown parameters are identifiable by these two equations and the nonlinear least square method.


## Estimation in Berkson ME models

- A Berkson ME model: $Y=g(X ; \beta)+\varepsilon, X=Z+e$, where $e$ is independent of $Z, \varepsilon$ and $e \sim f_{e}(u, \psi)$.
- The goal is to estimate $\gamma=\left(\beta^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}, \sigma^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ given random sample $\left(Y_{i}, Z_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
- The SLSE is $\hat{\gamma}_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma} Q_{n}(\gamma)$, where $Q_{n}(\gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma) A_{i} \rho_{i}(\gamma)$,

$$
\rho_{i}(\gamma)=\left(Y_{i}-E\left(Y_{i} \mid Z_{i}, \gamma\right), Y_{i}^{2}-E\left(Y_{i}^{2} \mid Z_{i}, \gamma\right)\right)^{\prime}
$$

and $A_{i}=W\left(Z_{i}\right)$ is a $2 \times 2$ weighting matrix.

- Under some regularity conditions, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\hat{\gamma}_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \gamma$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{n}-\gamma\right) \xrightarrow{L} N\left(0, B^{-1} C B^{-1}\right)$, where

$$
B=E\left[\frac{\partial \rho^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} A \frac{\partial \rho(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right], \quad C=E\left[\frac{\partial \rho^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} A \rho(\gamma) \rho^{\prime}(\gamma) A \frac{\partial \rho(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right]
$$

## SLS Estimation in Berkson ME Models: an Example

- A quadratic model

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i} & =\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\beta_{2} X_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{i} \\
X_{i} & =Z_{i}+e_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right), e_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{e}^{2}\right)$ independent.

- Generate data using $Z_{i} \sim N(2,1)$ and $\beta_{0}=3, \beta_{1}=2, \beta_{2}=1, \sigma^{2}=1, \sigma_{e}^{2}=2$.
- Sample size $n=100$
- Monte Carlo replications $N=1000$


## Example: Quadratic Model

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\hline \beta_{0}=3 & \beta_{1}=2 & \beta_{2}=1 & \sigma^{2}=1 & \sigma_{e}^{2}=2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| SLS1 | 3.046 | 2.052 | 0.995 | 0.983 | 2.028 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Std.) | $(0.013)$ | $(0.014)$ | $(0.010)$ | $(0.019)$ | $(0.013)$ |
| SLS2 | 3.024 | 2.048 | 0.975 | 1.073 | 2.026 |
| (Std.) | $(0.013)$ | $(0.013)$ | $(0.010)$ | $(0.020)$ | $(0.012)$ |
| NLS | 5.025 | 1.929 | 1.024 | 88.356 | NA |
| (Std.) | $(0.064)$ | $(0.087)$ | $(0.026)$ | $(0.622)$ | NA |

SLS1: SLSE using identity weight SLS2: SLSE using optimal weight
NLS: Naive nonlinear least squares estimates ignoring ME

## Simulation-based SLS Estimator

- In general the first two moments are

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(Y_{i} \mid Z_{i}, \gamma\right) & =\int g(Z+u, \beta) f_{e}(u ; \psi) d u \\
E\left(Y_{i}^{2} \mid Z_{i}, \gamma\right) & =\int g^{2}(Z+u, \beta) f_{e}(u ; \psi) d u+\sigma^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If the integrals have no closed forms and the dimension is higher than two or three, then numerical minimization of $Q_{n}(\gamma)$ is difficult.
- In this case, they can be replaced by Monte Carlo simulators:

$$
\frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \frac{g\left(Z_{i}+u_{i j}, \beta\right) f_{e}\left(u_{i j} ; \psi\right)}{h\left(u_{i j}\right)}, \quad \frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \frac{g^{2}\left(Z_{i}+u_{i j}, \beta\right) f_{e}\left(u_{i j} ; \psi\right)}{h\left(u_{i j}\right)}+\sigma^{2}
$$

where $u_{i j}$ are generated from a known density $h(u)$.

## Simulation-based SLS Estimator

- Choose a known density $h(u)$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(h) \supseteq \operatorname{Supp}\left(f_{e}(u ; \psi)\right)$.
- Generate random points $u_{i j} \sim h(u), i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, 2 S$ and calculate $\rho_{i, 1}(\gamma)$ using $u_{i j}, j=1,2, \ldots, S$ and $\rho_{i, 2}(\gamma)$ using $u_{i j}, j=S+1, S+2, \ldots, 2 S$
- Then $\rho_{i, 1}(\gamma)$ and $\rho_{i, 2}(\gamma)$ are conditionally independent given data and therefore

$$
Q_{n, S}(\gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i, 1}^{\prime}(\gamma) A_{i} \rho_{i, 2}(\gamma)
$$

is an unbiased simulator for $Q_{n}(\gamma)$.

- The simulation-based SLS estimator is $\hat{\gamma}_{n, S}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma} Q_{n, S}(\gamma)$.


## Simulation-based SLS Estimator

- Under the same regularity conditions for the SLSE, for any fixed $S$, $\hat{\gamma}_{n, S} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \gamma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{n, S}-\gamma\right) \xrightarrow{L} N\left(0, B^{-1} C_{S} B^{-1}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 C_{S} & =E\left[\frac{\partial \rho_{1}^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} W \rho_{2}(\gamma) \rho_{2}^{\prime}(\gamma) W \frac{\partial \rho_{1}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right] \\
& +E\left[\frac{\partial \rho_{1}^{\prime}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma} W \rho_{2}(\gamma) \rho_{1}^{\prime}(\gamma) W \frac{\partial \rho_{2}(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma^{\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- How much efficiency is lost due to simulation?
- We can show that

$$
C_{S}=C+\frac{1}{S} M_{1}+\frac{1}{S^{2}} M_{2}
$$

where $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are two constant matrices.

- Therefore the efficiency loss is of order $O(1 / S)$.


## Simulation-based SLSE: an Example

- A linear-exponential model

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i} & =\beta_{1} X_{1 i}+\beta_{2} \exp \left(-\beta_{3} X_{2 i}\right)+\varepsilon_{i} \\
X_{1 i} & =Z_{1 i}+e_{1 i}, X_{2 i}=Z_{2 i}+e_{2 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right), e_{1 i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right), e_{2 i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)$ independent.

- Generate data using $Z_{i} \sim N(1,1)$ and

$$
\beta_{0}=3, \beta_{1}=2, \beta_{2}=1, \sigma^{2}=1, \sigma_{1}^{2}=1, \sigma_{2}^{2}=1.5
$$

- Choose $h(u)$ to be the density of $N_{2}(0, \operatorname{diag}(5,5))$ and $S=1000$.
- Sample size $n=100$, and Monte Carlo replications $N=1000$.


## Example: Linear-Exponential Model

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\beta_{1}=3 & \beta_{2}=2 & \beta_{3}=1 & \sigma^{2}=1 & \sigma_{1}^{2}=1 & \sigma_{2}^{2}=1.5 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| SLS1 | 3.000 | 2.009 | 0.878 | 1.023 | 1.073 | 1.356 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Std.) | $(0.011)$ | $(0.008)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.009)$ | $(0.011)$ | $(0.007)$ |
| SLS2 | 2.987 | 2.066 | 0.869 | 1.026 | 1.039 | 1.275 |
| (Std.) | $(0.009)$ | $(0.009)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.009)$ | $(0.010)$ | $(0.005)$ |
| SbSLS | 3.002 | 1.898 | 0.947 | 1.000 | 1.003 | 1.319 |
| (Std.) | $(0.006)$ | $(0.005)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.005)$ | $(0.005)$ | $(0.008)$ |
| NLS | 3.215 | 2.391 | 1.017 | 45.557 | NA | NA |
| (Std.) | $(0.008)$ | $(0.007)$ | $(0.006)$ | $(3.365)$ | NA | NA |

SLS1: SLSE using identity weight
SLS2: SLSE using optimal weight
SbSLS: Simulation-based SLSE using identity weight
NLS: Naive nonlinear least squares estimates ignoring ME

## Estimation in Classical ME Model

- A semiparametric model with classical ME and IV:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=g(X, \beta)+\varepsilon \\
& Z=X+e \\
& X=\Gamma W+U
\end{aligned}
$$

- $Y \in R, Z \in R^{k}, W \in R^{\ell}$ are observed;
- $X \in \boldsymbol{R}^{k}, \beta \in \boldsymbol{R}^{p}, \Gamma \in \boldsymbol{R}^{k \times \ell}$ are unobserved;
- $E(\varepsilon \mid X, Z, W)=0$ and $E(e \mid X, W)=0$;
- $U$ and $W$ independent and $E(U)=0$;
- Suppose $U \sim f_{U}(u ; \phi)$ which is known up to $\phi \in \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$.
- $X, \varepsilon$ and $e$ have nonparametric distributions.


## SLS-IV Estimation for Classical ME Models

- Under model assumptions:

$$
\begin{align*}
E(Z \mid W) & =\Gamma W  \tag{1}\\
E(Y \mid W) & =\int g(x ; \beta) f_{U}(x-\Gamma W ; \phi) d x  \tag{2}\\
E(Y Z \mid W) & =\int x g(x ; \beta) f_{U}(x-\Gamma W ; \phi) d x  \tag{3}\\
E\left(Y^{2} \mid W\right) & =\int g^{2}(x ; \beta) f_{U}(x-\Gamma W ; \phi) d x+\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\Gamma$ can be estimated by the LSE $\hat{\Gamma}=\left(\sum Z_{j} W_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum W_{j} W_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.
- Given $\hat{\Gamma}$, to estimate $\gamma=\left(\beta, \phi, \sigma^{2}\right)$ using (2)-(4).
- The SLS-IV estimator is $\hat{\gamma}_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\psi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma) A_{i} \rho_{i}(\gamma)$, where

$$
\rho_{i}(\gamma)=\left(Y_{i}-E\left(Y_{i} \mid W_{i}, \gamma\right), Y_{i}^{2}-E\left(Y_{i}^{2} \mid W_{i}, \gamma\right), Y_{i} Z_{i}-E\left(Y_{i} Z_{i} \mid W_{i}, \gamma\right)\right)^{\prime}
$$

## Example of Longitudinal Data

- Orange Tree data (Draper and Smith 1981, p.524):

Trunk circumference (in mm ) of 5 orange trees measured on 7 occasions over a period of 1600 days from December 31, 1968.

|  | Trunk Circumference |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Day | Tree 1 | Tree 2 | Tree 3 | Tree 4 | Tree 5 |
| 118 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 32 | 30 |
| 484 | 58 | 69 | 51 | 62 | 49 |
| 664 | 87 | 111 | 75 | 112 | 81 |
| 1004 | 115 | 156 | 108 | 167 | 125 |
| 1231 | 120 | 172 | 115 | 179 | 142 |
| 1372 | 142 | 203 | 139 | 209 | 174 |
| 1582 | 145 | 203 | 140 | 214 | 177 |

## Orange Tree Data



- All growth curves have a similar shape
- However, the growth rate of each curve is different


## Orange Tree Data

- A logistic growth model

$$
y_{i t}=\frac{\xi_{i}}{1+\exp \left[-\left(x_{i t}-\beta_{1}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]}+\epsilon_{i t},
$$

where

- $y_{i t}=$ circumference $, i=1, \ldots, 5, t=1, \ldots, 7$
- $x_{i t}=$ days $, i=1, \ldots, 5, t=1, \ldots, 7$
- $\xi_{i}$ is a random parameter: $\xi_{i}=\varphi+\delta_{i}$
- $\varphi$ is the fixed effect
- $\delta_{i}$ is random effect, usually assumed $\delta_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\delta}^{2}\right)$
- $\varepsilon_{i t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$ are i.i.d. random errors


## Example of Longitudinal Data

- Pharmacokinetics of cefamandole (Davidian and Giltinan 1995): A dose of $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight is administered by ten-minute intravenous infusion to six healthy male volunteers, and plasma concentration is measured at 14 time points.

|  | Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 10 | 127.00 | 120.00 | 154.00 | 181.00 | 253.00 | 140.00 |  |
| 15 | 80.00 | 90.10 | 94.00 | 119.00 | 176.00 | 120.00 |  |
| 20 | 47.40 | 70.00 | 84.00 | 84.30 | 150.00 | 106.00 |  |
| 30 | 39.90 | 40.10 | 56.00 | 56.10 | 90.30 | 60.40 |  |
| 45 | 24.80 | 24.00 | 37.10 | 39.80 | 69.60 | 60.90 |  |
| 60 | 17.90 | 16.10 | 28.90 | 23.30 | 42.50 | 42.20 |  |
| 75 | 11.70 | 11.60 | 25.50 | 22.70 | 30.60 | 26.80 |  |
| 90 | 10.90 | 9.20 | 20.00 | 13.00 | 19.60 | 22.00 |  |
| 120 | 5.70 | 5.20 | 12.40 | 8.00 | 13.80 | 14.50 |  |
| 150 | 2.55 | 3.00 | 8.30 | 2.40 | 11.40 | 8.80 |  |
| 180 | 1.84 | 1.54 | 4.50 | 1.60 | 6.30 | 6.00 |  |
| 240 | 1.50 | 0.73 | 3.40 | 1.10 | 3.80 | 3.00 |  |
| 300 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 1.70 | 0.48 | 1.55 | 1.30 |  |
| 360 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 1.19 | 0.29 | 1.22 | 1.03 |  |

## Cefamandole Plasma Concentration



- An exponential model with two random effects

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =\xi_{1 i} \exp \left(-\xi_{2 i} x_{i t}\right)+\varepsilon_{i t} \\
\xi_{1 i} & =\varphi_{1}+\delta_{1 i}, \quad \xi_{2 i}=\varphi_{2}+\delta_{2 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A General Nonlinear Mixed Effects Model

- The model

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =g\left(x_{i t}, \xi_{i}, \beta\right)+\varepsilon_{i t}, t=1,2, \ldots, T_{i} \\
\xi_{i} & =Z_{i} \varphi+\delta_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $y_{i t} \in \boldsymbol{R}, x_{i t} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{k}, \xi_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{\ell}, \beta \in \boldsymbol{R}^{p}, \varphi \in \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$
- $\delta_{i} \sim f_{\delta}(u ; \psi), \psi \in \boldsymbol{R}^{r}$, independent of $Z_{i}$ and $X_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i T_{i}}\right)^{\prime}$
- $\varepsilon_{i t}$ are i.i.d. and $E\left(\varepsilon_{i t} \mid X_{i}, Z_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)=0, E\left(\varepsilon_{i t}^{2} \mid X_{i}, Z_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)=\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$
- The goal is to estimate $\gamma=\left(\beta, \varphi, \psi, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$


## Estimation in Mixed Effects Models

- Maximum likelihood estimation:

Lindstrom and Bates (1990), Davidian and Gallant (1993), Ke and Wang (2001), Vonesh et al. (2002), Wu (2002), Daimon and Goto (2003), Lai and Shih (2003)

- Generalized method of moments (GMM) estimation for linear (and some nonlinear) dynamic models:
Wooldridge (1999), Arellano and Honoré (2001), Hsiao, Pesaran and Tahmiscioglu (2002), Arellano and Carrasco (2003), Honoré and Hu (2004)
- In general, the maximum likelihood estimators are difficult to compute and existing approximation methods rely on normality assumption (Hartford and Davidian (2000)).


## Identification Using First Two Moments: An Example

- Exponential model

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =\xi_{1 i} \exp \left(-\xi_{2 i} x_{i t}\right)+\varepsilon_{i t} \\
\xi_{i} & =\varphi+\delta_{i}, \delta_{i} \sim N_{2}\left[(0,0), \operatorname{diag}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- The first two moments of $y_{i t}$ given $X_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(y_{i t} \mid X_{i}\right)= & \varphi_{1} \exp \left(-\varphi_{2} x_{i t}+\psi_{2} x_{i t}^{2} / 2\right) \\
E\left(y_{i t} y_{i s} \mid X_{i}\right)= & \left(\varphi_{1}^{2}+\psi_{1}\right) \exp \left[-\varphi_{2}\left(x_{i t}+x_{i s}\right)+\psi_{2}\left(x_{i t}+x_{i s}\right)^{2} / 2\right] \\
& +\sigma_{i t s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{i t s}=\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ if $t=s$, and zero otherwise.

- $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are identified by the first equation and the nonlinear least squares method, while $\psi_{1}$ and $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ are identified by the second equation.


## Second-order Least Squares Estimator

- The first two conditional moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i t}(\gamma) & =E_{\gamma}\left(y_{i t} \mid X_{i}, Z_{i}\right)=\int g\left(x_{i t}, u, \beta\right) f_{\delta}\left(u-Z_{i} \varphi ; \psi\right) d u \\
\nu_{i t s}(\gamma) & =E_{\gamma}\left(y_{i t} y_{i s} \mid X_{i}, Z_{i}\right) \\
& =\int g\left(x_{i t}, u, \beta\right) g\left(x_{i s}, u, \beta\right) f_{\delta}\left(u-Z_{i} \varphi ; \psi\right) d u+\sigma_{i t s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{i t s}=\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ if $t=s$, and zero otherwise.

- The SLSE for $\gamma$ is $\hat{\gamma}_{N}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma} Q_{N}(\gamma)$, where $Q_{n}(\gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma) A_{i} \rho_{i}(\gamma)$,

$$
\rho_{i}(\gamma)=\left(y_{i t}-\mu_{i t}(\gamma), y_{i t} y_{i s}-\nu_{i t s}(\gamma), 1 \leq t \leq s \leq T_{i}\right)^{\prime}
$$

and $A_{i}$ is n.d. and may depend on $X_{i}, Z_{i}$.

## Example: Exponential Model

- The model

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =\xi_{1 i} \exp \left(-\xi_{2 i} x_{i t}\right)+\varepsilon_{i t}, \varepsilon_{i t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \\
\xi_{i} & =\varphi+\delta_{i}, \delta_{i} \sim N_{2}\left[(0,0),\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{12}\right)\right] \\
x_{i t} & =x_{t} \sim \operatorname{Unif}(0,5)
\end{aligned}
$$

- First two moments

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i t}(\gamma)= & \left(\varphi_{1}-\psi_{12} x_{i t}\right) \exp \left(-\varphi_{2} x_{i t}+\psi_{2} x_{i t}^{2} / 2\right) \\
\nu_{i t s}(\gamma)= & {\left[\psi_{1}+\left(\varphi_{1}-\psi_{12}\left(x_{i t}+x_{i s}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \times } \\
& \exp \left[-\varphi_{2}\left(x_{i t}+x_{i s}\right)+\psi_{2}\left(x_{i t}+x_{i s}\right)^{2} / 2\right]+\sigma_{i t s},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{i t s}=\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ if $t=s$, and zero otherwise.

- Also compute quasilikelihood estimates for $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{12}$ assuming $\psi_{1}, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ are known.
- Monte Carlo replications: 1000

Simulation 1: Exponential model with $n=20, T=5$

|  | $\varphi_{1}=10$ | $\varphi_{2}=5$ | $\psi_{1}=1$ | $\psi_{2}=0.7$ | $\psi_{12}=0.5$ | $\sigma^{2}=1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLS1 | 9.9024 | 4.9369 | 1.0032 | 0.6803 | 0.5003 | 0.9827 |
| SSE | 0.0499 | 0.0229 | 0.0092 | 0.0055 | 0.0055 | 0.0051 |
| RMSE | 1.5816 | 0.7264 | 0.2915 | 0.1749 | 0.1733 | 0.1612 |
| SLS2 | 9.8597 | 4.9365 | 0.9940 | 0.6913 | 0.5012 | 0.9395 |
| SSE | 0.0442 | 0.0214 | 0.0092 | 0.0056 | 0.0055 | 0.0051 |
| RMSE | 1.4030 | 0.6785 | 0.2919 | 0.1768 | 0.1734 | 0.1722 |
| QLE | 11.2574 | 5.4979 | - | 0.6056 | 0.4935 | - |
| SSE | 0.0333 | 0.0186 | - | 0.0051 | 0.0055 | - |
| RMSE | 1.6392 | 0.7707 | - | 0.1868 | 0.1743 | - |

SLS1: SLSE using identity weight SLS2: SLSE using optimal weight
QLE: Quasilikelihood estimates
SSE: Monte Carlo simulation standard error
RMSE: Root mean squared error

Simulation 2: Exponential model with $n=40, T=7$

|  | $\varphi_{1}=10$ | $\varphi_{2}=5$ | $\psi_{1}=1$ | $\psi_{2}=0.7$ | $\psi_{12}=0.5$ | $\sigma^{2}=1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLS1 | 9.9178 | 4.8742 | 0.9959 | 0.6454 | 0.5104 | 0.9915 |
| SSE | 0.0475 | 0.0310 | 0.0089 | 0.0048 | 0.0055 | 0.0034 |
| RMSE | 1.5029 | 0.9888 | 0.2804 | 0.1614 | 0.1732 | 0.1073 |
| SLS2 | 9.9049 | 4.8969 | 0.9971 | 0.6572 | 0.5055 | 0.9332 |
| SSE | 0.0391 | 0.0264 | 0.0091 | 0.0052 | 0.0054 | 0.0034 |
| RMSE | 1.2404 | 0.8406 | 0.2870 | 0.1691 | 0.1709 | 0.1269 |
| QLE | 11.4357 | 5.8306 | - | 0.6335 | 0.4920 | - |
| SSE | 0.0184 | 0.0129 | - | 0.0052 | 0.0055 | - |
| RMSE | 1.5491 | 0.9246 | - | 0.1759 | 0.1739 | - |

SLS1: SLSE using identity weight SLS2: SLSE using optimal weight
QLE: Quasilikelihood estimates
SSE: Monte Carlo simulation standard error
RMSE: Root mean squared error

## Example: Logistic model

- The model

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =\frac{\xi_{i}}{1+\exp \left[-\left(x_{i t}-\beta_{1}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]}+\varepsilon_{i t}, \varepsilon_{i t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \\
\xi_{i} & =\varphi+\delta_{i}, \delta_{i} \sim N(0, \psi) \\
x_{i t} & =x_{t}=(20,40, \ldots, 20 T)
\end{aligned}
$$

- First two moments

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i t}(\gamma) & =\frac{\varphi}{1+\exp \left[\left(\beta_{1}-x_{i t}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]} \\
\nu_{i t s}(\gamma) & =\frac{\varphi^{2}+\psi}{\left(1+\exp \left[\left(\beta_{1}-x_{i t}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]\right)\left(1+\exp \left[\left(\beta_{1}-x_{i s}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]\right)}+\sigma_{i t s}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Compute SLS using identity weight.
- Monte Carlo replications: 500


## Example: Logistic model (Cont'd)

- Choose $h(u)$ to be the density of $N\left(0, \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)$ with $\sigma_{0}^{2}=5$
- Generate $u_{i j} \sim h(u)$ with $S=1000$.
- Compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{i t, 1}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \frac{u_{i j} \sqrt{\sigma_{0}^{2} / \psi} \exp \left[-\left(u_{i j}-\varphi\right)^{2} / 2 \psi+u_{i j}^{2} / 2 \sigma_{0}^{2}\right]}{1+\exp \left[\left(\beta_{1}-x_{i t}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]}, \\
\nu_{i t s, 1}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \frac{u_{i j}^{2} \sqrt{\sigma_{0}^{2} / \psi} \exp \left[-\left(u_{i j}-\varphi\right)^{2} / 2 \psi+u_{i j}^{2} / 2 \sigma_{0}^{2}\right]}{\left(1+\exp \left[\left(\beta_{1}-x_{i t}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]\right)\left(1+\exp \left[\left(\beta_{1}-x_{i s}\right) / \beta_{2}\right]\right)}+\sigma_{i t s}
\end{gathered}
$$ and $\mu_{i t, 2}(\gamma), \nu_{i t s, 2}(\gamma)$ similarly using $u_{i j}, j=S+1, \ldots, 2 S$.

- Compute SBE using identity weight.

Simulation 3: Logistic model with $n=7, T=5$.

|  | $\beta_{1}=70$ | $\beta_{2}=34$ | $\varphi=20$ | $\psi=9$ | $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLS | 69.9058 | 34.0463 | 19.8818 | 9.0167 | 1.0140 |
|  | $(0.0720)$ | $(0.0592)$ | $(0.0510)$ | $(0.0142)$ | $(0.0215)$ |
| SBE | 69.9746 | 34.1314 | 18.9744 | 10.7648 | 0.9921 |
|  | $(0.1143)$ | $(0.1159)$ | $(0.1137)$ | $(0.0216)$ | $(0.0607)$ |

SLS: SLSE using identity weight
SBE: Simulation-based estimates using identity weight ( $\cdot$ ): Simulation standard errors

Simulation 4: Logistic model with $n=30, T=10$.

|  | $\beta_{1}=70$ | $\beta_{2}=34$ | $\varphi=20$ | $\psi=9$ | $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLS | 70.0203 | 34.0303 | 20.0319 | 8.9625 | 1.0016 |
|  | $(0.0398)$ | $(0.0395)$ | $(0.0258)$ | $(0.0128)$ | $(0.0249)$ |
| SBE | 69.9754 | 34.2096 | 19.1365 | 10.8034 | 0.8936 |
|  | $(0.1183)$ | $(0.1146)$ | $(0.1094)$ | $(0.0180)$ | $(0.0537)$ |

## Example: Logistic model with 2 random effects

- The model

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t} & =\frac{\xi_{1 i}}{1+\exp \left[-\left(x_{i t}-\xi_{2 i}\right) / \beta\right]}+\varepsilon_{i t}, \varepsilon_{i t} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \\
\xi_{i} & =\varphi+\delta_{i}, \delta_{i} \sim N_{2}\left[(0,0), \operatorname{diag}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- The closed forms of the moments are not available.
- Generate $S=500$ points $\left\{u_{i j}\right\} \sim N_{2}[(200,700), \operatorname{diag}(81,81)]$.
- Monte Carlo replications: 500

Simulation 5: Sample sizes $n=7, T=5$.

| True | $\beta=350$ | $\varphi_{1}=200$ | $\varphi_{2}=700$ | $\psi_{1}=100$ | $\psi_{2}=625$ | $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}=25$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBE | 349.8222 | 199.3850 | 699.3057 | 104.8866 | 634.3594 | 25.3303 |
|  | $(0.5896)$ | $(0.5984)$ | $(0.5620)$ | $(0.0088)$ | $(0.0533)$ | $(0.2605)$ |

SBE: Simulation-based estimates using identity weight
(.): Simulation standard errors

