| Paired Comparison Models   | MUSIC data set   |
|--|--|
|  | Exercise 1: Data file: muspc.Rdata   |
|  | This is the same data set as music.dat (practicals day II) without NAs   |
|  | object object  |
| Paired Comparison Preference Models  | BIGB     bigband music     LATI     latin music       BLUG     bluegrass music     MOOD     easy listening music       COUN     country western music     NEWA     new age music       BLUE     blues music     OPER     opera   |
| Practicals and Home work IV  | MUSI     broadway musicals     RAP     rap music       CLAS     classical music     REGG     reggae music       FOLK     folk music     CONR     contemporary rock music       GOSP     gospel music     OLDI     oldies rock music       JAZZ     jazz     HVYM     heavy metal music   |
| Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger   | Subject variables:   |
| Department of Statistics and Mathematics, WU Vienna  |  |
|  | MARITAL (marital status)       SEX (respondents sex)       RACE (race of respondent)         1       MARRIED       1       MALE       1       WHITE         2       WIDOWED       2       FEMALE       2       BLACK         3       DIVORCED       3       OTHER         4       SEPARATED       5       NEVER MARRIED  |
| Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger 2011-04-02  | Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger 2011-04-02  |
|  |  |
| MUSIC data set   | Models overview  |
|  |  |
| INCOME Gata Set     Image: Set   | Models overview<br>Exercise 2:<br>generate some PC data, subject and object variables, e.g.,   |
| INCOME Cata Set       Image: Control Set Cata Set Set Cata Set Cata Set Cata Set | Exercise 2:<br>generate some PC data, subject and object variables, e.g.,<br>> set.seed(12345) # for replicability   |
| XNORCSIZ (size of living location)     AGE (in years)       1     CITY GT 250000     6       2     CITY,50-250000     7       3     SUBURB, LRG CITY     8       3     SUBURB, LRG CITY     8  | Exercise 2:<br>generate some PC data, subject and object variables, e.g.,<br>> set.seed(12345)  # for replicability<br>> ex<-simPC(3,100,c(3,2,1))  # PC data  |
| Invosite data set       Image: Control of the set of the se | Exercise 2:<br>generate some PC data, subject and object variables, e.g.,<br>> set.seed(12345)  # for replicability<br>> ex<-simPC(3,100,c(3,2,1))  # PC data<br>> s1<-rnorm(100)  # numeric subject variable<br>> s2<-rnorm(100)  |
| Invosite data set       Image: Set in the set in | Exercise 2:         generate some PC data, subject and object variables, e.g.,         > set.seed(12345)       # for replicability         > ex<-simPC(3,100,c(3,2,1))   |
| Invosite data set       Image: Set in the set in | Importance       Importance         Exercise 2:       generate some PC data, subject and object variables, e.g.,         > set.seed(12345)       # for replicability         > ex<-simPC(3,100,c(3,2,1))   |
| INCODE Outd Set       Image: Set in the set in t | Indices overview       Image: Solution of the solution |
| INCOMPOSITE Guida Set       AGE (in years)         1       CITY GT 250000       6 UNINC,MED CITY         2       CITY,50-250000       7 CITY,10-49999         3       SUBURB, LRG CITY       8 TOWN GT 2500         4       SUBURB, MED CITY       9 SMALLER AREAS         5       UNINC,LRG CITY       10 OPEN COUNTRY <b>Tasks:</b> 1       select some objects (4 - 5) and the corresponding comparisons         2       select one or two subject covariates (and recode them)         3       from 1 and 2 generate an new data file         4       calculate a common undecided term and add it to the design data frame         5       find a (minimal) fitting PATTERN model using subject covariates         6       plot the worth for this model         7       define one or two object covariates (e.g. easy listening / not easy listening)         8       fit a pattern model with object specific covariates only         9       find a model for both subject and object specific covariates         10       fit the same model using the LLBT (Ilbt.design, gnm)         11       fit interaction parameters to the PATTERN model  | Exercise 2:         generate some PC data, subject and object variables, e.g.,         > set.seed(12345)       # for replicability         > ex<-simPC(3,100,c(3,2,1))   |

## **P G**P For nerds Data Collection Exercise 3a: For nerds Collect ranking data: Morning Session: Show that the LLBT and the independence PATTERN model are equivalent if everybody (during lunch time, by phone, on the street, ...) collects ranking data plus 2 or Tasks: 3 subject variable from 3 - 5 persons we obtain a nice data set for Exercise 3b (afternoon session) 1 generate a data file for 3 objects using simPC() 2 fit an LLBT and an independence PATTERN model (estimates are the same) Proposal for question: **3** show that $P(Y_{ij})$ is the same in both models: Was ist Ihnen/Dir bei einem Restaurantbesuch am wichtigsten, zweitwichtigsten, etc. calculate, e.g., $P(Y_{12})$ , from the LLBT and from the PATTERN model both (i) analytically and (ii) using R a) Qualität der Speisen und Getränke hint: use fitted values, sum up corresponding pattern probabilities b) Freundlichkeit des Personals (e.g. calculate $P(Y_{13} = 1, Y_{23} = 1)$ , $P(Y_{13} = 1, Y_{23} = -1)$ , etc. and use this) c) aünstige Preise d) Schnelligkeit des Services Afternoon Session: Investigate intransitive patterns Proposal for subject variables: 1 use 4 items from the teacher7 data set (day III) and remove NAs 2 generate a data frame with two variables: - observed count for all patterns (y in a design data frame) SEX - is pattern transitive (yes/no) AGE (if possible in years) the rows are the PC patterns: - generate a character vector with patterns and use it as row names STUDENT (yes/no) **3** investigate the most frequent intransitive patterns, can you interpret the intransitivities? 4 remove the intransitive observations ? 5 fit LLBTs for both data sets (with/without intransitive observations) 6 is the goodness-of-fit different? why? 2011-04-02 2011-04-02 Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger **P** P Collected Data Principal's Data Exercise 3b: Exercise 4: (Data file: princ.Rdata) - TALIS (Teaching And Learning International Survey) - OECD 2009 Analyse the collected data - 24 countries, over 4 000 schools and over 70 000 teachers - data contains evaluation of school principals on importance of certain tasks Tasks: Responses: Importance of Tasks (Response Format: Piling) 1 find a suitable model using the LLBT 2 find a suitable model using the PATTERN approach Six tasks were printed on cards. The cards had to be sorted into piles according to their 3 compare the results importance. The number of piles was not predetermined. For each task the pile number was recorded. Higher values correspond to higher importance. for 1) you have to transform the rankings into PCs, e.g., MINSTRY > dat <- read.table()</pre> An important part of my job is to ensure ministry- approved instructional approaches are explained to new teachers, and that more experienced teachers are using these > nobj <- 4 approaches > resdat <- NULL GOALS > for (j in 2:nobj) for (i in 1:(j - 1)) { An important part of my job is to ensure that teachers are held accountable for the v <- ifelse(dat[i] < dat[j], 1, ifelse(dat[i] == dat[j],</pre> attainment of the school's goals. + 0, -1))PARENTS An important part of my job is to present new ideas to the parents in a convincing way. + resdat <- cbind(resdat, v)</pre> BULES + } It is important for the school that I see to it that everyone sticks to the rules. ADMIN It is important for the school that I check for mistakes and errors in administrative procedures and reports. TIMTAB An important part of my job is to resolve problems with the timetable and/or lesson planning.

Regina Dittrich & Reinhold Hatzinger

| Principal's Data   |   |   |  |   | Principal's Data  |            |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|------------|
| Subject variables:   |   |   |  |   | Tasks:  |            |
| SEX<br>1 Female<br>2 Male<br>1 Under 40<br>2 40-49<br>3 50-59<br>4 60+<br>3 KHOOL<br>1 A public school<br>2 A private school |   | LOCSIZ<br>1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>EXP<br>1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7 | A small town (3<br>A town (15 000 -<br>A city (100 000 |   | <ul> <li>1 decide on the method to analyse the data (if necc</li> <li>2 select some subject covariates (and recode them)</li> <li>3 decide if you want to compare countries, if yes ch</li> <li>4 from 1 and 3 generate an new data file</li> <li>5 perform an analysis (proceed as in previous exerci</li> </ul> | noose some |
| CNTRY<br>1 Australia<br>2 Austria<br>3 Belgium (Flemish)<br>4 Brazil<br>5 Bulgaria<br>6 Denmark<br>7 Estonia<br>8 Hungary    | 9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16 | Ireland<br>Italy<br>Korea<br>Lithuania<br>Malaysia<br>Malta               | 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24           | Netherlands<br>Norway<br>Poland<br>Portugal<br>Slovak Republic<br>Slovenia<br>Spain<br>Turkey |   |            |
|  |   |   |  | 2011-04-02  |   | 2011-04-02 |