

The basic panel data model

The basic panel data model is given by:

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,it} + \beta_2 x_{2,it} + \dots + \beta_K x_{K,it} + a_i + u_{it}, \quad (18)$$

with idiosyncratic errors u_{it} having following important property which is essential for unbiasedness of FE and FD estimation:

- $E(u_{it} | \mathbf{X}_i, a_i) = 0$, which implies $E(\Delta u_{it} | \mathbf{X}_i) = 0$

Exclude the constant β_0 to allow the a_i s to be unconstrained.

The basic panel data model

An important distinction is made concerning dependence between a_i and the observed covariates:

- Fixed-effects model:

a_i could be correlated with any covariates $x_{j,it}$ for some or all t for any $j = 1, \dots, K$; i.e. $\text{Cov}(a_i, x_{j,it})$ might be different from 0;

- Random-effects model:

a_i is uncorrelated with all covariates $x_{j,it}$ for all $t = 1, \dots, T$ for any $j = 1, \dots, K$; i.e. $\text{Cov}(a_i, x_{j,it}) = 0$.

Estimation of fixed effect models - FE

Two-step procedure: averaging over time yields

$$\bar{y}_{i.} = \beta_1 \bar{x}_{1,i.} + \beta_2 \bar{x}_{2,i.} + \dots + \beta_K \bar{x}_{K,i.} + a_i + \bar{u}_{i.}$$

Allows estimation of a_i , if β_1, \dots, β_K are known.

Subtracting these time averages from (18) yields a regression model without a_i , which allows OLS estimation of β_1, \dots, β_K :

$$\ddot{y}_{it} = \beta_1 \ddot{x}_{1,it} + \beta_2 \ddot{x}_{2,it} + \dots + \beta_K \ddot{x}_{K,it} + \ddot{u}_{it},$$

where $\ddot{x}_{j,it} = x_{j,it} - \bar{x}_{j,i.}$, and similarly for \ddot{y}_{it} and \ddot{u}_{it} .

Estimation of fixed effect models - FE

Comments:

- Two step-procedure does not work, if any covariate x_{it} is time-invariant for all units i .
- Standard error of the fixed effects are underestimated, because β_1, \dots, β_K are held fixed at the estimated values.

Alternative - joint OLS estimation:

- Include a dummy variable for each unit in (18) and estimate a large regression model with parameter vector $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_K, a_1, \dots, a_N)$.

Estimation of fixed effect models - FD

Consider first differences between t and $t - 1$ as before and use OLS estimation:

$$\Delta y_{it} = \beta_1 \Delta x_{1,it} + \beta_2 \Delta x_{2,it} + \dots + \beta_K \Delta x_{K,it} + \Delta u_{it}. \quad (19)$$

Comments:

- Does not work, if any covariate x_{it} is time-invariant for all units i .
- No estimates for a_i are available.

Assumptions for FE estimation

Under following assumptions on u_{it} , FE estimation is BLUE and therefore more efficient than FD estimation:

- Homoskedasticity: $\text{Var}(u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_i, a_i) = \sigma^2$ for all units ($i = 1, \dots, N$) and for all $t = 1, \dots, T$;
- No serial correlation: the idiosyncratic errors are uncorrelated (conditional on all explanatory variables and the unobserved effect a_i): $\text{Cov}(u_{it}, u_{is}|\mathbf{X}_i, a_i) = 0$, for all $s \neq t$, $s, t = 1, \dots, T$.

If the idiosyncratic errors follow in addition a normal distribution, then t - and F -statistics have exact t - and F -distributions (p -values are correct).

Assumptions for FE estimation

The condition of no correlation among u_{it} implies that the differences Δu_{it} of the idiosyncratic errors in the FD equation (19) follow an MA(1)-Process,

$$\Delta u_{it} = -1 \cdot u_{i,t-1} + u_{it},$$

with $\theta = -1$ and negative lag 1 correlation equal to $\theta/(1 + \theta^2) = -0.5$, and all remaining AC are equal to 0.

Explains loss of efficiency.

Assumptions for FD estimation

Under the following assumptions on the differences Δu_{it} of the idiosyncratic errors, FD estimation is BLUE and therefore more efficient than FE estimation:

- Homoskedasticity: $\text{Var}(\Delta u_{it} | \mathbf{X}_i) = \sigma^2$ for all units ($i = 1, \dots, N$) and for all $t = 1, \dots, T$;
- No serial correlation: $\text{Cov}(\Delta u_{it}, \Delta u_{is} | \mathbf{X}_i) = 0$, for all $s \neq t$, $s, t = 1, \dots, T$.

The last condition implies that the original idiosyncratic errors follow a random walk.

FD or FE estimation?

- Run FE estimation and check autocorrelation of residuals;
- if uncorrelated, use FE; if PAC(1) close to 1, run FD;
- Run FD estimation and check autocorrelation of residuals;
- if uncorrelated, use FD; if PAC(1) high negative value, run FE.

No clear decision, if partial autocorrelation function PAC(h) does not vanish for lags $h > 1$.

Pooled estimation

OLS estimation of the regression coefficients in the basic panel data model (18) using a (pooled) regression model which ignores a_i :

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,it} + \beta_2 x_{2,it} + \dots + \beta_K x_{K,it} + \tilde{u}_{it}. \quad (20)$$

- Fixed-effects - OLS may be biased: possible correlation between a_i and any covariates $x_{j,it}$ implies that $E(u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_i)$ might be different from 0.
- Random-effects - OLS unbiased: $\text{Cov}(a_i, x_{j,it}) = 0$ implies $E(u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_i) = 0$ for all i, t, j . However, standard errors may be wrong, because the composite error $\tilde{u}_{it} = a_i + u_{it}$ is serially correlated across time.

Assumptions for Random effects Estimation

The basic assumption

$$E(a_i | \mathbf{X}_i) = \beta_0,$$

rules out correlation between the unobserved effect a_i and the explanatory variables and implies $\text{Cov}(a_i, x_{j,it}) = 0$.

What assumptions are needed to obtain correct standard errors?
Same assumption as for FE estimation, namely

- Homoskedasticity: $\text{Var}(u_{it} | \mathbf{X}_i, a_i) = \sigma_u^2$ for all i, t ;
- No serial correlation: $\text{Cov}(u_{it}, u_{is} | \mathbf{X}_i, a_i) = 0$, for all $s \neq t$.

Assumptions for Random effects Estimation

Additional assumption: the variance of the unobserved effect a_i given all explanatory variables is constant,

$$\text{Var}(a_i | \mathbf{X}_i) = \sigma_a^2.$$

In this case, the correlation between the composite errors \tilde{u}_{it} and \tilde{u}_{is} , $t \neq s$, is given by:

$$\text{Corr}(u_{it}, u_{is}) = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_u^2}.$$

Compound symmetry: all off-diagonal elements of the correlation matrix of (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{iT}) are the same!

Assumptions for Random effects Estimation

For each unit, the random effects model could be written as a system of equations with correlated errors, or in matrix notation

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u}_i, \quad \text{Cov}(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{R},$$

where \mathbf{R} has compound symmetry (as has the inverse matrix).

GLS (Generalized least square):

- transform this system to a new system with uncorrelated errors and estimate $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_K$ from this system;
- yields correct standard errors for $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_K$; two-stage estimation of the unobserved effects a_1, \dots, a_N .

Assumptions for Random effects Estimation

GLS estimation corresponds to pooled OLS estimator of β_1, \dots, β_K in the following, quasi-demeaned equation,

$$y_{it} - \lambda \bar{y}_{i.} = \beta_1(x_{j,it} - \lambda \bar{x}_{j,i.}) + \dots + \beta_K(x_{j,it} - \lambda \bar{x}_{j,i.}) + \ddot{u}_{it}.$$

λ depends on σ_a^2 and σ_u^2 :

$$\lambda = 1 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_u^2 + T\sigma_a^2}.$$

Assumptions for Random effects Estimation

- $\lambda = 1$: FE estimation; $\lambda = 0$: pooled OLS estimation.
- If λ is close to 1, GLS is close to FE estimation (e.g. large T , large variance σ_a^2 of unobserved effects relative to error variance σ_u^2).
- If λ is close to 0, GLS is close to pooled OLS estimation (e.g. small variance σ_a^2 of unobserved effects relative to error variance σ_u^2).

Assumptions for Random effects Estimation

Additional assumption: the unobserved effect a_i given all explanatory variables follows a normal distribution,

$$a_i \sim \text{Normal}(\beta_0, \sigma_a^2).$$

Use ML (maximum likelihood) estimation for the system

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u}_i, \quad \mathbf{u}_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}).$$

Allows joint estimation of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K, \sigma_u^2$ and the parameters β_0 and σ_a^2 of the distribution of unobserved heterogeneity.

Case Study: Investment equation

Small balanced sample: $N = 10$ manufacturing firms, $T = 20$ years (Baltagi, 2001).

Investment equation:

$$I_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 F_{it} + \beta_2 C_{it} + u_{it},$$

with I_{it} real gross investment of a firm i in year t , F_i real value of the firm (shares outstanding), C_{it} real value of the capital stock.

Eviews Workfile: `baltagi-gruenfeld-panel.wf1`

Further Issues

Baltagi, B. H. (2001): Econometric Analysis of Panel Data (2 ed.). Chichester: Wiley.

- Testing various specifications and model selection: quite involved.
- It is possible to correct for heteroskedasticity of the idiosyncratic errors, e.g. unit specific variances $\text{Var}(u_{it}|\mathbf{X}_i) = \sigma_i^2$.
- It is possible to correct for serial correlation of the idiosyncratic errors, i.e. $\text{Cov}(u_{it}, u_{is}|\mathbf{X}_i) \neq 0$, for all $s \neq t$.
- It is possible to allow for heterogeneity across units and across time for selected regression coefficients β_j .