Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Economics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolet

Note on a model of Chinese national income determination

Gregory C. Chow*

Department of Economics, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 June 2009 Received in revised form 24 November 2009 Accepted 25 November 2009 Available online 1 December 2009

Keywords: Consumption Investment China Macroeconomy

JEL classification: E20.011

ABSTRACT

A macroeconomic model of Chow (1985) explaining aggregate consumption by the permanent income hypothesis of Robert Hall and aggregate investment by the accelerations principle was found to fit Chinese annual data from 1952 to 1982 well. This note shows that the same model can successfully explain Chinese annual data from 1978 to 2006.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

In Chow (1985) I tried to explain the two major components, consumption and investment, of real national income in China in the period 1952 to 1982. This note shows that the same model can explain China's national income from 1978 to 2006.

The model of Chow (1985) starts with the national income identity $Y_t = C_t + I_t$ where Y_t , C_t , and I_t denote respectively national income, consumption and investment in year t in constant prices. $X_t = \text{exports} - \text{imports}$ is omitted as a component of Y_t because during the sample period 1952–1982 this variable is less than half of 1% of Y, except for 1982 when it equals 1.6%.

Consumption *C* is determined by the permanent income hypothesis of Hall (1978), namely, as a random walk with drift. To determine investment I assume that desired capital stock K^* equals a constant plus *aY*, and that actual change in capital stock $K_t - K_{t-1}$ equals a fraction *b* of the desired change in capital stock or $b(K_t^* - K_{t-1})$. Substituting the linear function of *Y* for K^* in this equation and solving for K_t give $K_t =$ const. $+ abY_t + (1 - b) K_{t-1}$. Since gross investment I_t is defined as $K_t (1 - d)K_{t-1}$ where *d* is the annual rate of depreciation, we can subtract (1 - d) times the equation for K_{t-1} from the above equation for K_t to obtain an equation for investment

$$I_t = K_t - (1-d)K_{t-1} = const. + ab[Y_t - (1-d)Y_{t-1}] + (1-b)I_{t-1}.$$

* Tel.: +1 609 258 4030.

E-mail address: gchow@princeton.edu.

Given a small rate of depreciation which is equal to about 0.04 for the capital stock in China, investment I_t depends on the rate of change in output Y according to the accelerations principle.

I have estimated this same model using Chinese data from 1978 to 2006. Data on Y = GDP, *C*, *I* and X = exports - imports (measured in 100 million RMB) in nominal terms are presented in Table 1. To obtain these variables in constant prices I have divided them by a price index. The price index, also presented in Table 1, is the ratio of *Y* in nominal terms to *Y* in real terms. The consumption and investment equations are estimated by the method of two-stage least squares. In the first stage Y_t is estimated by a regression on C_{t-1} , I_{t-1} , X_t and X_{t-1} to yield, with *X* assumed to be exogenous,

$$Y_t^* = 140.8(116.4) + .8841(.0604)C_{t-1} + 1.4254(.0951)I_{t-1}$$
$$-.4815(.2616)X_t + 1.4073(.2883)X_{t-1} \quad R^2 = 0.9996; s = 273.4.$$
(1)

The number in parentheses after each coefficient is its standard error. The variables are measured in 100 million RMB in 1978 prices, with the price index in 2006 equal to 4.598 as shown in Table 1.

In the second stage of two-stage least squares I have estimated the consumption function

$$C_t = 218.86 + 1.067(.074)C_{t-1} - 0.0051(.0371)Y_t^* R^2 = 0.9985; s = 271.24$$
(2)

^{0165-1765/\$ -} see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.econlet.2009.11.018

Table 1

Data on China's national income and its determinants. Sources: Y = GDP, *C*, *I* and X = exports - imports in nominal terms, measured in

100 million RMB, are found in Tables 3–15 of *China Statistical Yearbook 2007*. The price index *p* is the ratio of Y in nominal terms and Y in real terms, the latter given in Tables 3–4 of *China Statistical Yearbook 2007*.

Year	Y	С	Ι	X	р
1978	3605.6	2239.1	1377.9	-11.4	1
1979	4092.6	2633.7	1478.9	-20	1.054896
1980	4592.9	3007.9	1599.7	-14.7	1.098124
1981	5008.8	3361.5	1630.2	17.1	1.137733
1982	5590	3714.8	1784.2	91	1.164813
1983	6216.2	4126.4	2039	50.8	1.168049
1984	7362.7	4846.3	2515.1	1.3	1.201187
1985	9076.7	5986.3	3457.5	- 367.1	1.305023
1986	10,508.5	6821.8	3941.9	- 255.2	1.387854
1987	12,277.4	7804.6	4462	10.8	1.453304
1988	15,388.6	9839.5	5700.2	- 151.1	1.63712
1989	17,311.3	11,164.2	6332.7	- 185.6	1.769711
1990	19,347.8	12,090.5	6747	510.3	1.904878
1991	22,577.4	14,091.9	7868	617.5	2.035682
1992	27,565.2	17,203.3	10,086.3	275.6	2.175614
1993	36,938.1	21,899.9	15,717.7	-679.5	2.558603
1994	50,217.4	29,242.2	20,341.1	634.1	3.075886
1995	63,216.9	36,748.2	25,470.1	998.6	3.490539
1996	74,163.6	43,919.5	28,784.9	1459.2	3.722223
1997	81,658.5	48,140.6	29,968	3549.9	3.750238
1998	86,531.6	51,588.2	31,314.2	3629.2	3.685385
1999	91,125	55,636.9	32,951.5	2536.6	3.605819
2000	98,749	61,516	34,842.8	2390.2	3.604115
2001	108,972.4	66,878.3	39,769.4	2324.7	3.672308
2002	120,350.3	71,691.2	45,565	3094.1	3.717834
2003	136,398.8	77,449.5	55,963	2986.3	3.829693
2004	160,280.4	87,032.9	69,168.4	4079.1	4.088025
2005	188,692.1	97,822.7	80,646.3	10,223.1	4.358183
2006	221,170.5	110,413.2	94,103.2	16,654.1	4.598263
	Year 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2004 2005 2006	Year Y 1978 3605.6 1979 4092.6 1980 4592.9 1981 5008.8 1982 5590 1983 6216.2 1984 7362.7 1985 9076.7 1986 10,508.5 1987 12,277.4 1989 17,311.3 1990 19,347.8 1991 22,577.4 1992 27,565.2 1993 36,938.1 1994 50,217.4 1995 63,216.9 1994 50,217.4 1995 63,216.9 1997 81,658.5 1998 86,531.6 1999 91,125 2000 98,749 2001 108,972.4 2002 120,350.3 2003 136,398.8 2004 160,280.4 2005 188,692.1 2006 221,170.5	Year Y C 1978 3605.6 2239.1 1979 4092.6 2633.7 1980 4592.9 3007.9 1981 5008.8 3361.5 1982 5590 3714.8 1983 6216.2 4126.4 1984 7362.7 4846.3 1985 9076.7 5986.3 1986 10,508.5 6821.8 1987 12,277.4 7804.6 1989 17,311.3 11,164.2 1990 19,347.8 12,090.5 1991 22,577.4 14,091.9 1992 27,565.2 17,203.3 1993 36,938.1 21,899.9 1994 50,217.4 29,242.2 1995 63,216.9 36,748.2 1996 74,163.6 43,919.5 1997 81,658.5 48,140.6 1998 86,531.6 51,588.2 1999 1,125 55,636.9 2000 98,749 <th>Year Y C I 1978 3605.6 2239.1 1377.9 1979 4092.6 2633.7 1478.9 1980 4592.9 3007.9 1599.7 1981 5008.8 3361.5 1630.2 1982 5590 3714.8 1784.2 1983 6216.2 4126.4 2039 1984 7362.7 4846.3 2515.1 1985 9076.7 5986.3 3457.5 1986 10.508.5 6821.8 3941.9 1987 12,277.4 7804.6 4462 1988 15,388.6 9839.5 5700.2 1989 17,311.3 11,164.2 6332.7 1990 19,347.8 12,090.5 6747 1991 22,577.4 14,091.9 7868 1992 27,565.2 17,203.3 10,086.3 1993 36,938.1 21,899.9 15,717.7 1994 50,217.4 29,242.2 20,341.1</th> <th>YearYCIX1978$3605.6$$2239.1$$1377.9$$-11.4$1979$4092.6$$2633.7$$1478.9$$-20$1980$4592.9$$3007.9$$1599.7$$-14.7$1981$5008.8$$3361.5$$1630.2$$17.1$1982$5590$$3714.8$$1784.2$$91$1983$6216.2$$4126.4$$2039$$50.8$1984$7362.7$$4846.3$$2515.1$$1.3$1985$9076.7$$5986.3$$3457.5$$-367.1$1986$10.508.5$$6821.8$$3941.9$$-255.2$1987$12.277.4$$7804.6$$4462$$10.8$1988$15,388.6$$9839.5$$5700.2$$-151.1$1989$17,311.3$$11,164.2$$6332.7$$-185.6$1990$19,347.8$$12,090.5$$6747$$510.3$1991$22,577.4$$14,091.9$$7868$$617.5$1992$27,565.2$$17,203.3$$10,086.3$$275.6$1993$36,938.1$$21,899.9$$15,717.7$$-679.5$1994$50,217.4$$29,242.2$$20,341.1$$634.1$1995$63,216.9$$36,748.2$$25,470.1$$998.6$1996$74,163.6$$43,919.5$$28,784.9$$1459.2$1997$8,6531.6$$51,588.2$$31,314.2$$3629.2$1998$86,531.6$$51,588.2$$31,314.2$$3629.2$2001$108,972.4$$66,878.3$<t< th=""></t<></th>	Year Y C I 1978 3605.6 2239.1 1377.9 1979 4092.6 2633.7 1478.9 1980 4592.9 3007.9 1599.7 1981 5008.8 3361.5 1630.2 1982 5590 3714.8 1784.2 1983 6216.2 4126.4 2039 1984 7362.7 4846.3 2515.1 1985 9076.7 5986.3 3457.5 1986 10.508.5 6821.8 3941.9 1987 12,277.4 7804.6 4462 1988 15,388.6 9839.5 5700.2 1989 17,311.3 11,164.2 6332.7 1990 19,347.8 12,090.5 6747 1991 22,577.4 14,091.9 7868 1992 27,565.2 17,203.3 10,086.3 1993 36,938.1 21,899.9 15,717.7 1994 50,217.4 29,242.2 20,341.1	YearYCIX1978 3605.6 2239.1 1377.9 -11.4 1979 4092.6 2633.7 1478.9 -20 1980 4592.9 3007.9 1599.7 -14.7 1981 5008.8 3361.5 1630.2 17.1 1982 5590 3714.8 1784.2 91 1983 6216.2 4126.4 2039 50.8 1984 7362.7 4846.3 2515.1 1.3 1985 9076.7 5986.3 3457.5 -367.1 1986 $10.508.5$ 6821.8 3941.9 -255.2 1987 $12.277.4$ 7804.6 4462 10.8 1988 $15,388.6$ 9839.5 5700.2 -151.1 1989 $17,311.3$ $11,164.2$ 6332.7 -185.6 1990 $19,347.8$ $12,090.5$ 6747 510.3 1991 $22,577.4$ $14,091.9$ 7868 617.5 1992 $27,565.2$ $17,203.3$ $10,086.3$ 275.6 1993 $36,938.1$ $21,899.9$ $15,717.7$ -679.5 1994 $50,217.4$ $29,242.2$ $20,341.1$ 634.1 1995 $63,216.9$ $36,748.2$ $25,470.1$ 998.6 1996 $74,163.6$ $43,919.5$ $28,784.9$ 1459.2 1997 $8,6531.6$ $51,588.2$ $31,314.2$ 3629.2 1998 $86,531.6$ $51,588.2$ $31,314.2$ 3629.2 2001 $108,972.4$ $66,878.3$ <t< th=""></t<>

This result confirms the permanent income hypothesis of Hall perfectly since the coefficient of C_{t-1} is almost exactly 1 and the coefficient of income *Y* is almost equal to zero. Given the result (Eq. (2)) I have dropped the variable Y_t^* and reestimated the consumption function to obtain

$$C_t = 226.05(91.78) + 1.0570(.0079)C_{t-1} R^2 = 0.9985; s = 266.08.$$
(2a)

The investment function is

$$I_t = -399.04(139.79) + 2.4149(.6470)Y_t^* - 2.2861(.6281)Y_{t-1}$$
(3)
+ .2233(.2369) I_{t-1} $R^2 = .9968; s = 327.4.$

Note that the coefficient of Y_{t-1} is opposite in sign and slightly less in magnitude (because of the rate of depreciation) to the coefficient of

 Y_t^* . This confirms the accelerations principle that investment depends on the rate of change in income.

Given the coefficients of Y_t^* and Y_{t-1} in Eq. (3) to be almost equal in magnitude I replace these variables by the variable $(Y_t^* - Y_{t-1})$ to obtain the investment function

$$I_t = -186.23(120.84) + 1.7782(.6513)(Y_t^* - Y_{t-1})$$
(3a)
+ .6866(.1589) I_{t-1} $R^2 = .9960; s = 359.28.$

In Chow (1985) I reported results similar to Eqs. (2a) and (3a) obtained by using Chinese annual data from 1952 to 1982. In the consumption function the coefficient of lagged consumption was almost equal to one and the coefficient of income was zero. In the investment equation the coefficient of Y_{t-1} was negative and slightly less in magnitude than the coefficient of Y and I replaced these variables by their difference as in Eq. (3a). The results showed that the coefficient of this difference in the investment equation was smaller than 1.7782 possibly because the ratio *a* of capital stock to output was smaller and the adjustment coefficient *b* for capital stock to reach equilibrium was also smaller before 1978.

In conclusion I have found that the permanent income hypothesis of Hall (1978) to explain consumption and the accelerations principle to explain investment are well supported by Chinese macro data for the periods 1952–1982 and 1987–2006 as well. This is one example of the applicability of economic theory to the Chinese economy. Other examples can be found in Chow (2007).

Acknowledgement

The author would like to acknowledge financial support from the Gregory C Chow Econometric Research Program of Princeton University in the preparation of this article.

References

Chow, Gregory C., 1985. A model of Chinese national income determination. Journal of Political Economy 93, 782–792.

Chow, Gregory C., 2007. China's Economic Transformation, 2nd ed. Blackwell.

Hall, Robert E., 1978. Stochastic implications of the life-cycle-permanent income hypothesis: theory and evidence. Journal of Political Economy 86, 971–987.